RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0384 OF 2020

Sh. Harmit Singh Sidhu, (9878691567) C/o Baba Kaka Finance Co., Phul Road, Rampura Phul, Bathinda.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Rampura Phul, District Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Regional Deputy Director,
Local Government, Punjab,
Bathinda.

...Respondents

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: None on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Mandeep Singh, Computer Operator on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08-12-2020.

- 2. The Respondent reiterates his earlier version that requisite information has already been supplied to Appellant vide letter no. 3477, dated 26.10.2020 and no deficiencies in the furnished information has been conveyed by the Appellant till date.
- 3. The Appellant is absent for the 02nd time consecutively without any intimation to Commission. Moreover, on the previous date of hearing 08-12-2020, last and final opportunity was given to Appellant to convey in writing deficiencies in the furnished information to the Respondents but it has been observed that he failed to do so. In view of the above, it is presumed that he has received the demanded information and he is satisfied with the same. **Hence, the case is disposed of and closed**.
- 4. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 16-02-2021 Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0673 OF 2020

Shri Kulwant Singh, (6284568375) S/o Shri Shivcharan Singh, Village Dehar, P.O. Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur-143512

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Director Public Instructions (Secondary), Punjab School Education Board Complex, Phase-VIII, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondents

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: None for the Complainant

Shri Tejinder Singh, Jr. Assistant, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08-12-2021.

2. The Respondent states that the sought for information has already been supplied to the Complainant by registered post on 19-01-2021 and no deficiency in the provided information has been received from the Complainant.

3. The Complainant is absent for the 02nd time consecutively with no intimation to Commission. However, the Commission has tried to contact him over his Mobile but the same was switched off.

4. This is a complaint case. Therefore, the attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered in its order dated 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

5. In the light of above, **the case is ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 16-02-2021

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0686 OF 2020

Shri Jaswinder Singh, (9814673902) S/o Shri Raghbir Singh, # 315, Phase-1, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Director Public Instructions (Secondary), Punjab School Education Board Complex, Phase-VIII, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondent

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: Shri Jaswinder Singh, the Complainant.

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This case may be ready with reference to the previous order dated 08-12-2020.

- 2. The Respondent orally states that the Complainant sought the third party information which cannot be provided to him.
- 3. The RTI application of the Complainant is deliberated while hearing the case and thereafter, the Complainant could not justify what larger public interest is involved as the information sought by the him relates to third party.
- 4. This is a complaint case. Therefore, the attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered in its order dated 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.
- 5. In the light of above, **the case is ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 16-02-2021 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0687 OF 2020

Shri Jaswinder Singh, (9814673902) S/o Shri Raghbir Singh, # 315, Phase-1, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director Public Instructions (Secondary), Punjab School Education Board Complex, Phase-VIII, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondent

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: Shri Jaswinder Singh, the Complainant.

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This case may be ready with reference to the previous order dated 08-12-2020.

- 2. The Respondent orally states that the Complainant sought the third party information which cannot be provided to him.
- 3. The RTI application of the Complainant is deliberated while hearing the case and thereafter, the Complainant could not justify what larger public interest is involved as the information sought by the him relates to third party.
- 4. This is a complaint case. Therefore, the attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered in its order dated 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.
- 5. In the light of above, **the case is ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 16-02-2021 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner